Northwest Construction Consumer Council Construction Conference & Exposition October 23 & 24, 2000 Ned Givens CII Associate Director



 a unique consortium of owners, designers, builders, vendors, and universities formed to improve the capital project delivery process

CII Mission

To improve the safety, quality, schedule, and cost effectiveness of the capital investment process through research and implementation support for the purpose of providing a competitive advantage to its <u>members in the global marketplace</u>

CII Owner Members

3M Abbott Labs Air Products & Chemicals Alcoa **Anheuser-Busch Aramco Services Atlantic Richfield BP** Amoco **Bayer** Celanese **Champion International**

Chevron CITGO **Dow Chemical DuPont Eastman Chemical Exxon Research & Engineering FPL Energy General Motors General Services Administration** Intel **Eli Lilly and Company**

CII Owner Members (continued)

LTV Steel Mobil NASA NAVFAC **Ontario Power Generation Phillips Petroleum Procter & Gamble Reliant Energy Rohm and Haas Shell Oil** Solutia

Tennessee Valley Authority Texaco **U.S. Air Force Research Laboratory U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Department of Commerce U.S. Department of State** U.S. Steel **Union Carbide Corporation** The University of Texas System Weyerhaeuser Company

CII Contractor Members

ABB Lummus Global BE&K **BMW Constructors Bechtel Group Black & Veatch Burns and Roe Butler Manufacturing CDI Engineering Chemtex International Cherne Contracting Chicago Bridge & Iron**

Cianbro **Day & Zimmermann International Dick Corporation Dillingham Construction Holdings Eichleay Holdings Fisher Controls International Fluor Daniel Foster Wheeler USA Fru-Con Construction** James N. Gray Company Graycor

CII Contractor Members (continued)

H+M Construction Hilti Honeywell **International Technology Jacobs Engineering Group** J. A. Jones **Kellogg Brown & Root Kiewit Construction Kværner Morrison Knudsen**

M. A. Mortenson **Murphy Company The Parsons Corporation** Praxair **Raytheon Engineers & Constructors S&B Engineers and Constructors SAP** America **Stone & Webster Engineering** Walbridge Aldinger H. B. Zachry

Participating Universities

Arizona State Auburn **Cal-Berkeley Carnegie Mellon** Clemson Colorado Columbia **Georgia Tech** Florida **Iowa State** Kentucky Lehigh MIT **New Mexico**

North Carolina State **Oklahoma State Oregon State Pennsylvania State Polytechnic University NY** Purdue **Stanford** Texas Texas A&M Virginia Tech Washington Wisconsin **Worcester Polytechnic** Xavier

Impacts of Design/Information Technology on Project Outcomes

Performance Parameters

- Project Cost Growth
- Project Schedule Growth
- Recordable Incident Rate (RIR)
- Lost Workday Case Incident Rate (LWCIR)
- Field Rework Cost Factor

Performance Metrics

- Bar Coding
- Integrated Database
- **3D CAD**
- Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)









Performance Metric	Owners	Contractors
Cost Growth	-0.026	0.041
Schedule Growth	0.045	0.025
R.I.R.	2.184	2.203
L.W.C.I.R.	0.585	0.093
Field Rework Cost Factor	0.054	0.030

Practice Use	Owners	Contractors
100%	7.88	8.23
75%	1.79	2.88
50%	0.75	1.48
25%	0.00	0.56
0%	0.00	0.00
Mean	1.28	2.01

Outcome Metric -Owners-	4th	3rd	2nd	1st
Project Cost Growth	-0.020	-0.020	-0.034	-0.028
Schedule Growth	0.055	0.088	0.026	0.030
R.I.R.	3.015	2.081	2.444	1.439
L.W.C.I.R.	0.529	1.017	0.653	0.238*
Field Rework Cost Factor	0.060	0.043*	0.052	0.059

Outcome Metric -Contractors-	4th	3rd	2nd	1st
Project Cost Growth	0.040	0.099	0.027	0.010
Schedule Growth	0.040	0.017	0.016	0.026
R.I.R.	2.957*	1.820*	2.291*	1.829
L.W.C.I.R.	0.000*	0.077*	0.137*	1.163
Field Rework Cost Factor	C.T.	C.T.	0.026*	0.024*

•	Proje	ct Type	Nature	Cost	Const.
				(\$MM)	Dur.
•	O 1	Chem.	Grass Roots	56.6	12
•	O2	Chem.	Grass Roots	66.4	13
•	O3	Chem.	Grass Roots	137.0	12
•	C1	Chem.	Grass Roots	41.6	12
•	C2	Chem.	Addition	173.6	21
•	C3	Chem.	Addition	156.4	16

Outcome Metric	01	O2	03	CII
Owners				

•	Cost Growth (%)	-15.7* -18.8* -5.5	-4.3
•	Schedule Growth (%)	-9.0* -7.2 -8.8*	· 3.1

• Recordable Incident Rate 0.80 1.45 0.73 2.1

•	D/IT Use (0 to 10 scale)	5.24*	2.44*	5.38*	1.7
—	Integrated Database	Х	Ν	Х	
	EDI	Х	Х	X	
	3D CAD	Х	Х	Х	
_	Bar Code	X	S	Ν	

Outcome Metric	C1	C2	C3	CII
Contractors				

- Cost Growth (%) -8.5* -11.1* 1.4 3.6
 Schedule Growth (%) -46.4* 3.0 0.0 2.3
- Recordable Incident Rate 0.90 1.74 0.34* 2.07

•	D/IT Use (0 to 10 scale)	4.3*	4.55*	5.3*	2.19
—	Integrated Database	Х	Х	Х	
	EDI	Х	Х	Х	
	3D CAD	Х	Х	Х	
_	Bar Code	X	Χ	X	

BAR CODING

- Lessons Learned
 - Cost not justified for tracking pipe spool (\$/piece)
 - Time card abuse by employees

BAR CODING

- Standard Use Current
 - Employee badging
 - Time sheets job coding, payroll
 - Material receipt/tracking
- Limited Use Current
 - Inventory control
 - Tool control
 - Job progress reporting

BAR CODING

- Likely Expanded Use
 - Bill of materials coding
 - Job progress reporting/tracking

INTEGRATED DATABASE

- Lessons Learned
 - Software compatibility problems were experienced
 - Provided time & dollar savings for owners & contractors
 - Compatible capabilities by both owner & contractor are key to expanded use

INTEGRATED DATABASE

Standard Use - Current

- For conceptual to final design phase by owners & contractors
- Material tracking within the organization
- Internal productivity reports, actual vs budget
- Limited Use Current
 - During construction by owners & contractors
 - International design "links"

INTEGRATED DATABASE

- Likely Expanded Use
 - More international design
 - Owner/contractor links

3D CAD

- Lessons Learned
 - Biggest savings result from reduced rework
 - Cycle time was reduced by more concurrent work
 - Cost savings were realized from precise material take-offs

3D CAD

Standard Use - Current

- Interference checking
- Material take-off
- Fabrication drawings
- Limited Use Current
 - Piping
 - Structural
 - Electrical conduits & cable trays, lighting

3D CAD

Likely Expanded Use

- More components being added to design
- Increased integration with engineering analysis software
- For virtually all designs regardless of size/cost

EDI

- Lessons Learned
 - EDI supports successful alliances with suppliers
 - Use promotes design efficiency: more likely to get exact product needed, material take-offs can be done by supplier, only exact inventory is paid for

EDI

- Standard Use Current
 - Electronic funds transfer
 - Purchase orders
 - Material releases
- Limited Use Current
 - Transferring design specifications
 - Supplier alliances
 - Inspection reports to vendors

EDI

- Likely Expanded Use
 - Drawings & specifications transfer for bids
 - More alliances



Relative Cost Benefit of Practice Use

Respondent: Owners Industry: Heavy Industrial



Relative Cost Benefit of Practice Use

Respondent: Contractors Industry: Heavy Industrial



Relative Schedule Benefit of Practice Use

Respondent: Owners Industry: Light Industrial



Importance of Who You Work For



Introducing FIATECH An Overview

October, 2000



FIATECH Vision

Owners, contractors, and suppliers dramatically improving the effectiveness of large capital facilities - engineering, construction and operation - through the integrated application and deployment of the latest computer, automation, advanced communications, and other technologies.



FIATECH Mission

To provide leadership, direction and the forum to undertake collaborative **R**esearch, Development and Deployment, RD&D, leading to fully integrated and automated capital project processes, FIAPP, for the purpose of reducing cycle time and costs, and improving the effectiveness of capital facilities in the context of the owner's corporate enterprise.







FIATECH Members

Bringing High Technology to Capital Facilities

Who FIATECH is:

Membership in FIATECH is open to Owners, Contractors, Suppliers, and others committed to achieving FIAPP and seamless integration of facilities in improving enterprise performance.

Charter members include:

Air Products & Chemicals, BASF, Burns and Roe, Day and Zimmerman, DowChemical, DuPont, Eichleay Holdings, General Motors, Jacobs Engineering, Merck, NASA, Stone and Webster, H. B. Zachry



FIATECH Members

October, 2000

ABB Lummus Global AEA Technology Engineering Software ASD International Air Products and Chemicals Army Corps of Engineers Aspen Technology BASF Corporation **BE&K Bechtel Bentley Systems**

Burns and Roe Enterprises Cadcentre **Cyra Technologies Day & Zimmermann** International **Dick Corporation The Dow Chemical** Company **Dow Corning** E.I. DuPont de Nemours **Eichleay Holdings FileNET**



FIATECH Members

October, 2000

Flour **General Motors ICARUS** INOVx Intergraph **Jacobs Engineering Group Kvaerner** Lockwood Greene Engineers **Matrix One** Merck & Co. **Millennium Inorganic Chemicals**

NASA **NIST/Building & Fire Research Lab Parsons Energy &** Chemicals **Primavera Systems** Quillion **SAP** America **Stone & Webster** Engineering **Union Carbide** H. B. Zachry Company



FIATECH Organization

• Board of Directors The principal policy-making body of FIATECH and the final governing body

• Strategic Focus Areas (SFA)

The entity charged with developing high level goals and objectives, a strategy for accomplishing those goals and objectives, and oversight of mission accomplishments within a well-defined area of member interest.



FIATECH Organization

Bringing High Technology to Capital Facilities

• Possible SFAs include:

- Owner-Operator FORUM for User Requirements (Chartered)
- Capital Projects Integration Software
- Data Definitions and Structures
- Field Measurements and Integration
- Automation Technologies and Integration



SFA Operations

- SFAs function as focus area/interest groups within FIATECH
- Members choose to participate
- SFAs are led by elected Boards to develop high level goals and objectives, and a strategy for accomplishing those goals and objectives



SFA Operations

- Hold annual workshops to develop or initiate projects
- Most SFA activities supported by FIATECH dues
- Develop and seek sponsorship of projects





How Activities Are Paid For...

Dues:

- •Industry Forums and networking opportunities
- •Creating Collaborative Project Concepts
- •Workshops
- •Government Liaison
- Information Research



How Activities Are Paid For...

Participant Investors/Other Investors:

- •Statement of Work/ Work Breakdown
- •Structure Preparation
- Proposal Preparation
- •Collaborative Project Management
- •Technical/ Financial/ Legal
- •Deployment and Training



Membership & Dues Structure

- Membership Criteria
 - Committed to FIAPP principles
 - North American manufacturing
 - Board approved
- Dues
 - Small (sales of 0-\$50M)
 - Medium (sales of \$50M-\$1000M)

\$5000/yr \$5000 + .00211% of sales over \$50 M/yr \$25,000/yr

Large (\$1000M and over)



Allocation of Intellectual Property

- FIATECH Products
 - Determined by FIATECH participants.
- CII Research Team Products
 - Available to CII members and for sale to non-members.



• Makes FIAPP happen - A big step beyond *Best Practices* research

Summary: FIATECH...

- Brings together **all committed parties** within and beyond the capital projects industry
- Focuses on **results for members**



- Leverages resources
- Collaborates to develop and deploy standards and protocols for current IT technologies

Summary: FIATECH...

- Produces open architectures
- Produce intellectual property for members