

The UW Capital Projects Office and NW Construction Consumer Council

Present:

"Changing Project Delivery at the UW through

Innovation, Integration, and Adoption of MC/CM and EC/CM"

PACCAR Hall, the Gordon Kloft Classroom June 22, 2011

ermanson





UW Bothell, Phase 3 BRINGING IT ALL TOGETHER!

Integration of the Project Team – Part 2

- Will Dann THA Architecture
- Troy Bloedel Lease Crutcher Lewis
- Steve Tatge University of Washington





Northwest Construction Consumer Council



Presentation outline

- Integrated Project Delivery (like) Process
 - Contract
 - Three-leg Stool
 - Project Principles
- Project Scope
- Case Study
- Lessons Learned







- Adapt Standard UW Contract to Collaborative Process
- Defined "Project Partners"

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

- "collaborative and cooperative"
- Mandated Building Information Modeling
- Participation in GCCM, MCCM & ECCM selection
- Simplified Attachment B- Design Document Requirements
- Reduced Attachment C Document Review Process to single page!



Northwest Construction Consumer Council



UW Technical Lead

Architect

GCCM

Client

Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Project Manager I Project Architect I Consultants Project Manager I Superintendent I Sub Contractor

Hermanson

Project Manager I **Technical Lead** I Facilities Resources







Project Principles

- Objective:
 - Increase project quality and decrease project costs through collaboration, innovative thinking and use of technology
- Tenants:
 - Promote Partnership
 - Streamline Processes
 - Embrace Innovation
- Principles:

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future

- Trust your partners
- Promote collaboration without duplication

Hermanson

- Allow the process to serve the project, not constrain it
- Embrace and reward innovation





Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Slide 8

Project Overview

Program:

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

- Science Technology Engineering and Math Focus
- Laboratories, Classrooms and Faculty Offices
- 75,000 GSF, \$41M construction cost, \$68M TPC



Schematic Design Goals

Dynamic, Collaborative, Student Centered and Community Oriented

Highly Visible and Approachable Science

Building and Site as **Demonstration** of Science and Technology

Model Sustainable and Healthful Environment

Expression of Architectural Diversity on Campus







Northwest Construction Consumer Council















ARCHITE



Northwest Construction Consumer Council















Slide 18







Building Section Looking North





NELSON ELECTRIC Slide 19









Lower Level Lobby







Level 1





Slide 23



Level 1 Student Resource







- NM C C

NELSON ELECTRIC

ARCHITECTURE

Slide 26









GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

Level 4 – Color



ARCHITE





Team Integration Schedule

GCCM – selected mid-Schematic Design

MCCM-ECCM – selected mid-Design Development







Integration Venues

- Building Committee Meetings
- User meetings
- Technical review meetings
- Integrated Design Workshops
 - Approximately every other week DD and CD
- Systems workshops (Skin, Penthouse, Server Room)
- Site tours

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future

Budget reconciliation workshops

Hermanson

Impromptu phone calls, and Go-To Meetings







NELSON

Case Study

Design Concept

Ground Plane Structural Frame Skin System

















GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

NELSON

ARCHITE





Northwest Construction Consumer Council

8.31.10

10-099 UWB Phase 3

AREA	QUANTITY	UNIT
CORP YARD		
12" STRIPPING	765	TCY
CUT	1081	BCY
FILL	2741	BCY
NET SHORTFALL	1660	BCY
BUILDING PAD	-	
12" STRIPPING	2020	TCY
CUT	11,333	BCY
FILL	557	BCY
EXCESS MATERIAL	10,776	BCY
SITE		
12" STRIPPING	7280	TCY
CUT	8312	BCY
FILL	12056	BCY
NET SHORTFALL	3744	BCY
WEST CAMPUS LANE		
12" STRIPPING	1402	TCY
CUT	75	BCY
FILL	1427	BCY
NET SHORTFALL	1352	BCY
TOTALS		
12" STRIPPING	11467	TCY
CUT	20,801	BCY
FILL	16781	BCY
EXCESS MATERIAL	4,020	BCY



WEST CAMPUS





Schematic Design Foundation Benching & Shoring

- Identified need to confirm (e) utilities at UWB2, UWB3 corridor.
- Identified potential conflict with UWB2 footing.

As-Built drawing was incorrect. Field investigation led to moving UWB3 two feet North.



Northwest Construction Consumer Council

50% DD Foundation Plan

 Initial design incorporated pile foundations and spread footings.

ermanson 籠

- Result Revised benching elevations to eliminate pile foundations.
- Coordinated UWB2 & UWB3 foundation elevations.

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future



Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Slide 37



Northwest Construction Consumer Council

50% DD Foundation Plan



50% DD Foundation Plan

 Image – Revit model of coordinated shoring plan utilities for estimating and constructability.

Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Slide 39











SD Foundation and Framing Plan







THA Modified Model – Incorporated joists/girders





Innovaya's Outpoint Screen



NELSON

Timberline Estimate for UWB3

oup Pl	hase I	tem	Description	Takeoff Quantity	Crew	Labor Produc	Labor Quantity	Labor Price	Labor Cost/Unit	Labor Rate Table	Labor Amount	Material Cost/Unit	Material Amount	Sub Cost/Unit	Sub Amount	Tota Cost/l
04	.205	-	Transfer Beams				1							-		
		15	Rebar 350#/cy	19 ton			19.00 ton			1		1,100.00	20,900	550.00	10,450	1,6
		25	Buy Concrete	116 cy			116.00 cy					100.00	11,600			1
i i	1	33	Concrete Access/Equipment	110 cy			110.00 cy			1		2.00	220	2.00	220	1
		35	Place Concrete	110 cy	Place Crew -Small	0.500	55.00 mh	33.61	16.80	CHSBID	1,848			13.50	1,485	
		44	Form Oil/Nails/HW	2,568 sf			2,568.00 sf				2017-2016	0.12	308			
		45	Form Ties	257 ea			257.00 ea					0.50	129			1
		50	Form/Strip - downturned	1,990 sf	Formwork - Gen	0.160	318.40 mh	36.73	5.88	CHSBID	11,693	2.50	4,975	19 - SA	1	
		50	Form/Strip - upturned	578 sf	Formwork - Gen	0.160	92.48 mh	36.73	5.88	CHSBID	3,396	2.50	1,445	1		-
		53	Edge Forms	lf	Formwork-Small	0.250	mh	37.14		CHSBID			1			
		66	Chamfer Strips	lf	Formwork-Small		lf			CHSBID						1
i i	1	74	Rub Finish	2,568 sf	Finish Conc-R/P/G	0.010	25.68 mh	36.56	0.37	CHSBID	939	2.00	5,136			
		75	Patch Tie Holes	2,568 sf	Finish Conc-R/P/G	0.010	25.68 mh	36.56	0.37	CHSBID	939					
	1	81	Finish Wall/Col. Tops	140 sf	Cement Mason	0.010	1.40 mh	35.81	0.36	CHSBID	50	0.03	4			
		95	Protect Concrete	110 cy	Lab - Journeyman	0.040	4.40 mh	31.50	1.26	CHSBID	139	1.10	121			-
04	.220		Equipment Pads/Curbs	1			1		-					10 51	1	
		33	Equipment Pads/Curbs	74,700 bsf			74,700.00 bsf			UWB3-SD		0.00	0	0.25	18,675	-
04	.260		Structural Stairs						-							-
		01	CIP Stairs/Risers	990 lf	Lab - Journeyman		mh	31.50		CHSBID			÷	120.00	118,800	-
04	300		Foundation Walls													-
		15	Rehar - 5#/sf	20 top			20.00 top		-	LIMB3-SD		1 100 00	22 000	550.00	11 000	1
-		18	Sat Anchor Balte	20 101	Formwork-Small	0 500	mh	36 97		IM/B3-SD		1,100.00	22,000	000.00	11,000	
10		19	Set Embed Plates	200 ea	Formwork-Small	0.500	100.00 mb	36.27	18 14	LIMB3-SD	3.627	35.00	7.000	1 2		-
-	-	25	Buy Concrete	476 cv		0.000	476.00 cv	00.21	10.14	UMB3-SD	0,021	100.00	47 600	11		-
-		33	Concrete Access/Equipment	410 Cy 453 cy			453.00 cy		-	LIMB3-SD		2.00	906	2.00	906	-
-		35	Place Concrete	453 cy	Place Crew, Small	0.600	271.80 mh	32.65	19 59	LIMB3-SD	8 873	2.00		13.50	6 116	-
-	2	44	Form Oil Mails HM	11 919 of	nace crew -omai	0.000	11 919 00 ef	52.00	10.00	LIMB3-SD	0,010	0.12	1 430	10.00	0,110	-
-	-	45	Form Ties	1 200 ee			1 200.00 ee		-	LIMB3.SD		0.50	600			-
- 12	-	50	Form/Strin_ope sided	1,200 cd 4,387 of	Formwork Gen	0.100	438.70 mb	35.84	3.58	LIMB3 SD	15 700	0.50	2 1 9 4	10 50		-
-		50	Form/Strip - one sided	7,532 of	Formwork Gen	0.100	753.20 mh	35.84	3.58	LIMB3 SD	75,722	0.50	3,766			
	-	E 9	Edge Form	1,002 81	Formwork Con	0.100	733.20 mh	25.04	0.00	1M/D2 CD	20,332	0.00	5,700			-
	-	52	Construction Joint	11	Formwork Con	0.200	mh	35.04		UWD3-SD			-			-
-		74	Rub Einish	11 010 of	Formwork - Gen	0.010	11010 mb	37.05	0.37	LAAB3 SD	1 116					-
-		75	Patoh Tie Holes	11,919 ST	Finish Conc-R/P/G	0.005	59.60 mb	37.05	0.07	LIMPS SD	2 209	0.09	054			
		13	Pala Press	11,919 81	Formulark Con	2,000	onn oo.ec	37.05	0.13	UVVD3-30	2,200	0.00	334	4 4		-
	-	04	Pole Draces	ea	Formwork - Gen	2.000	mn	35.84		UWD3-SD			1	3 6		-
	-	03	Deauman IOF Draces	452 ou	Lab Journeymen	2.000	1812 mh	31 20	1.00	UMB3 SD	500	1.40	005	-		-
0.4	360	35	Shear Walle	455 UY	Lab - Journeyman	0.040	10.12 mm	31.39	1.20	09903-30	209	1.10	490			-
04	.300	15	Debes Official	70 +			79.00 +		-	11402 00		4 400 00	00 200	550.00	40.470	
		15	nepar - o#/st	73 ton			73.00 TON		-	UVVB3-SD		1,100.00	80,300	550.00	40,150	1

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson





































View from the West







Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Previous Work





Slide 60

Detail Development









Brick and Terracotta







Brick and Terracotta



Sketch Up Model





GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

Detail Development

Northwest Construction Consumer Council



Window Detail from Revit Model

NELSON ELECTRIC





Northwest Construction Consumer Council







Northwest Construction Consumer Council

Current Revit Model





Slide 69

Lessons Learned

Early Integration works!

- Team-work atmosphere
- Constructability Issues discussed as design evolved
- Cost (VE) ideas put forth as design evolved

Hermanson

- Client/users better informed of issues and decisions fewer surprises
- Projected decrease in substitutions requests, and RFI's



Lessons Learned

- Maximize Team Integration
 - Go-To Meetings are not enough
 - Documentation still got ahead of Estimating
 - Consider Co-Location
- Get Constituents Buy-In
 - Better balance between progressing design and review and response to comments
 - Accelerated Schedule Challenging
 - Vest "Technical Lead" with more authority
- BIM Limitations

GLUMAC engineers for a sustainable future Hermanson

- Two-way transfer of model difficult
- MEP Modeling still evolving



Northwest Construction Consumer Council

